Births and male:female birth ratio in Scandinavia and the United Kingdom after the Windscale fire of October 1957

Victor Grech*

Department of Paediatrics, Mater Dei Hospital, Msida, Malta

Received 19 November 2013 Accepted 5 January 2014

Abstract.

AIMS: The Windscale (UK) fire of 1957 carried radioactive fallout according to the then prevailing wind patterns, in a North-Easterly direction across the Nordic countries, toward Norway. The male:female ratio at birth (M/F) is known to be increased after parental exposure to ionising radiation due to foetal losses that affect female more than male pregnancies. This study was carried out in order to ascertain whether the Windscale fire had any effects on M/F and birth rates in the United Kingdom and Scandinavia.

METHODS: Annual live births by gender were obtained from a World Health Organization dataset. The null hypothesis was that there were no significant changes in M/F or in births in temporal association with the 1957 Windscale event in abovementioned countries.

RESULTS: There were no significant effects on the UK and most of Scandinavia but there was a significant rise in M/F for Norway and Finland with an aggregate deficit of around 4000 births in each country.

CONCLUSIONS: A recent study suggests that the plume from the reactor extended further east than previously believed. This study confirms that contamination from the Windscale fire had a negligible impact on the UK (as measured by birth effects) but had a significant impact on births in Norway and Sweden.

Keywords: Great Britain/epidemiology, Scandinavia, sex Ratio, birth Rate/*trends, infant, newborn, radiation, Ionizing

1. Introduction

The Windscale fire of 10 October 1957 was the worst nuclear accident in the history of the United Kingdom. In severity, it was ranked at level 5 on the 7-point International Nuclear Event Scale (the Chernobyl disaster of April 1986 and the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear disaster of March 2011 were rated at level 7) [1].

The accident occurred when the core of one the nuclear reactors at the Windscale (now Sellafield, Cumbria) plant caught fire and burned for three days, releasing substantial amounts of radionuclides into the surrounding area. Winds also carried radioactive fallout according to the then prevailing wind patterns, in a North-Easterly direction across the Nordic countries, toward Norway [2].

0924-6479/14/\$27.50 © 2014 - IOS Press and the authors. All rights reserved

^{*}Address for correspondence: Victor Grech, Department of Paediatrics, Mater Dei Hospital, Msida, Malta. Tel.: +356 99495813; E-mail: victor.e.grech@gov.mt.

V. Grech / Windscale, M/F and lost births

M/F is known to be increased after parental exposure to ionising radiation [3]. This has been most clearly shown in relation to the Chernobyl disaster of 1988, in association with a decline in birth rate [4].

Secular and geographical (latitude) trends in both the British Isles and the Nordic countries have already been published [5,6].

This study was carried out in order to ascertain whether the Windscale fire had any effects on M/F and birth rates in the United Kingdom and Scandinavia.

2. Methods

2.1. Data

Annual live births by gender were obtained from a World Health Organization dataset.

2.2. Statistics

Data was analysed for the periods 1953–1968 in five year intervals (1953–57, 1958–63 and 1964–68) for the following regions in the United Kingdom: Northern Ireland, Scotland, Ireland and England and Wales. For Scandinavia, the following countries were analysed: Iceland, Norway, Sweden, Finland and Denmark. The four years prior to the event (1953–57) were compared with the two four year periods after the event: 1958–63 and 1964–68. Short periods were used as it is known that M/F exhibits broad secular shifts, rendering extrapolation/s difficult [7].

Excel was used for data entry, overall analysis and charting. The quadratic equations of Fleiss were used for exact calculation of 95% confidence intervals for ratios [8]. Linear regression was carried out in order to attempt to extrapolate expected births in the presence of outlier (observed) values.

Chi tests for trend were used for annual male and female births. These were performed using the Bio-Med-Stat Excel add-in for contingency tables. This add-in is based on the original work by Cochran and Armitage (Dr. Peter Slezák, Institute of Normal and Pathological Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences, personal communication) [9, 10].

A p value ≤ 0.05 was taken to represent a statistically significant result.

The null hypothesis was that there were no significant changes in M/F in temporal association with the 1957 Windscale event in abovementioned countries.

3. Results

Results for the UK are shown in Table 1. There were no significant effects on M/F. There were no significant shifts in total live births for any of the regions involved after 1957.

Results for Scandinavia are shown in Table 2. There was a significant decline in M/F for Denmark and a significant rise in M/F for Norway (1953–7 vs. 1963–67, x = 4.2, p = 0.039) and Finland (1953–7 vs. 1958–62, x = 4.0, p = 0.047).

For Norway, for the period 1953–1967, this rising trend in M/F was significant (x trend 4.7, p = 0.03). The annual percentage change in live births, averaged over 5 year periods was 0.172 (1953–57), -0.2548 (1958–62) and 1.421 (1963–67). Table 3 shows the percentage increment in male and female births in Norway for the period 1953–67. This was used to extrapolate male and female live births (expected) for

46

Northern Ireland 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M 75531 81400 87141 F 70818 76179 81151 T 146349 157579 168292 UCI 0.5187 0.5190 0.5202 M/F 0.5161 0.5166 0.5178 LCI 0.5135 0.5141 0.5154 0 0.5135 0.5141 0.5154 0 0.5135 0.5141 0.5154 0 0.8 0.3 0.3 Scotland 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M 241094 259989 257801 F 227963 245538 242662 T 469057 505527 500463 UCI 0.5140 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5144 0.5143 0.5137 Ingland & Wales 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M						
M755318140087141F708187617981151T146349157579168292UCI0.51870.51900.5202MF0.51610.51660.5178LCI0.51350.51410.5154 $y = 0.8$ 0.30.9p0.80.3Scotland1953-771958-621963-67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137 $y = 0.8$ 0.31953-771958-621953-7 vs. 1958-621963-671953-7 vs. 1963-67M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137 x 0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953-571958-621963-67M177434420201842197901F16752061940542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51380.51430.5137 $y = 0.2$ 0.61953-771958-62 x 1.30.30.5142LCI0.51340.51370.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5137 $y = 0.2$ 0.616498F150293147379152867 <th>Northern Ireland</th> <th>1953–57</th> <th>1958–62</th> <th>1963–67</th>	Northern Ireland	1953–57	1958–62	1963–67		
F708187617981151T146349157579168292UCI0.51870.51900.5202M/F0.51610.51660.5178LCI0.51350.51410.51541953-7 vs. 1958-621953-7 vs. 1958-621963-67x0.10.9p0.80.3Scotland1953-571958-621963-67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137uCI0.51260.51290.5137y0.80.3England & Wales1953-771958-621963-67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51430.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5137 x 1.30.30.3p0.20.6reland1953-771958-621963-67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867x1.30.3130.5137LCI0.51410.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137	М	75531	81400	87141		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	F	70818	76179	81151		
UCI 0.5187 0.5190 0.5202 M/F 0.5161 0.5166 0.5178 LCI 0.5135 0.5141 0.5154 lp53-7 vs. 1958-62 1953-7 vs. 1963-67 x 0.1 0.9 p 0.8 0.3 Scotland 1953-57 1958-62 1963-67 M 241094 259989 257801 F 227963 245538 242662 T 469057 505527 500463 UCI 0.5154 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 IZCI 0.5140 0.5143 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5137 IZCI 0.5144 0.20184 2197901 F 1675206 1994054 2076838 T	Т	146349	157579	168292		
M/F0.51610.51660.5178LCI0.51350.51410.51541953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67 x 0.10.9p0.80.3Scotland1953–571958–621963–67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137 x 0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51440.51430.5146M/F0.51440.51430.5137UCI0.51430.51330.5146M/F0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5137 x 1.30.30.3p0.20.61963–67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51440.51030.5120r150293147379152867T30	UCI	0.5187	0.5190	0.5202		
LCI 0.5135 0.5141 0.5154 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1963–62 x 0.1 0.9 p 0.8 0.3 Scotland 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M 241094 259989 257801 F 227963 245538 242662 T 469057 505527 500463 UCI 0.5154 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 UCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 LCI 0.5126 0.5137 $0.56-62$ x 0.1 1.2 p p 0.8 0.3 0.3 England & Wales 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M/F 0.5144 0.200184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5143 0.5143	M/F	0.5161	0.5166	0.5178		
1953-7 vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.1 0.9 p 0.8 0.3 Scotland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 241094 259989 257801 F 227963 245538 242662 T 469057 505527 500463 UCI 0.5154 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 p 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 1774344 2020184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5143 0.5137 UCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 p 0.2 0.6 $1953-77$ r 1308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.513	LCI	0.5135	0.5141	0.5154		
x0.10.9p0.80.3Scotland1953-571958-621963-67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137 $1953-7$ vs. 1958-621953-7 vs. 1968-621953-7 vs. 1963-67 x 0.11.2 p p 0.80.3England & Wales1953-571958-621963-67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51430.5137UCI0.51380.51430.5137UCI0.51380.51430.5137 x 1.30.3 p p 0.20.6Ireland1953-571958-62 $1963-67$ vs. 1965-621963-67M158403154661Icilays161498F150293147379152867308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51310.51210.5137UCI0.51490.51380.51530.51261953-77 vs. 1963-62 x 1.30.51210.51310.51210.5137UCI <td></td> <td>1953–7 vs. 1958–62</td> <td></td> <td>1953–7 vs. 1963–67</td>		1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67		
p 0.8 0.3 Scotland1953–571958–621963–67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67 n x0.11.2 n p0.80.3England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5137p0.20.61reland1953–571958–621963–67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51200.5120m153–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.5131 </td <td>x</td> <td>0.1</td> <td></td> <td>0.9</td>	x	0.1		0.9		
Soculand1953–571958–621963–67M241094259989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–671953–7 vs. 1958–62x0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953–571958–621963177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661Ireland1953–571958–621963–671953–671958–62M15840315466116149851F150293147379152867152667T308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.51201953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51201953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M	р	0.8		0.3		
M24109425989257801F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.5137 1953 -7 vs. 1958-621953-7 vs. 1963-671953-7 vs. 1963-67x0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953-571958-621963-67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51480.51371953-7 vs. 1958-621963-671953-7 vs. 1963-67M17743440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.51371953-7 vs. 1958-621963-670.3p0.20.6Ireland1953-571958-621963-67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51210.5137UCI0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51210.5137JCG1953-7 vs. 1958-621963-67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI	Scotland	1953–57	1958-62	1963-67		
F227963245538242662T469057505527500463UCI0.51540.51570.5165M/F0.51400.51430.5151LCI0.51260.51290.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F1675206190405420768384274739UCI0.51490.51530.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.51371958–621963–67M/F0.51440.51480.51430.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661161498161498F1502931473791528671958–62M1584031546611614985155M/F0.51310.51210.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51210.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51210.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51201953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M/F0.51310.51210.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51201953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M/F0.51310.	М	241094	259989	257801		
T469057505527500463UCI 0.5154 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 1953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F1675206190405420768382076838T344955039242384274739UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5143 0.5144 0.5143 0.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland1953–571958–621963–67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867 0.5131 0.5131 0.5120 UCI 0.5131 0.5120 M/F 0.5131 0.5120 I/F 0.5131 0.5120 M/F 0.5131 0.5120 1953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M/F 0.7 <td< td=""><td>F</td><td>227963</td><td>245538</td><td>242662</td></td<>	F	227963	245538	242662		
UCI 0.5154 0.5157 0.5165 M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 1953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M1774344Q0201842197901F1675206190405420768382076838T344955039242384274739UCI 0.5149 0.5143 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5143 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661Ifeland1953–571958–621963–67M158403154661Ifeland1953–571958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67M158403154661Ifeland1953–71958–621953–7 vs. 1958–62M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5124 0.5137 0.5124 0.5137 0.5134 0.5127 0.5134 0.5127 0.5134 0.5127 0.5134 0.5127 0.5134 0.5123 0.5134	Т	469057	505527	500463		
M/F 0.5140 0.5143 0.5151 LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 1774344 2020184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ If Sat03 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 IS2867 $1953-67$ 195267 T 308696 302040 314365UCI 0.5131 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 UCI 0.5149 0.5137 UCI 0.5149 0.5137 UCI 0.5149 0.5137 UCI 0.5144 0.5103 0.5120 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 0.6 </td <td>UCI</td> <td>0.5154</td> <td>0.5157</td> <td>0.5165</td>	UCI	0.5154	0.5157	0.5165		
LCI 0.5126 0.5129 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 1774344 2020184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 0.5137 UCI 0.5131 0.5121 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	M/F	0.5140	0.5143	0.5151		
1953-7 vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.1 1.2 p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 1774344 2020184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5131 0.5121 0.5131 0.5121 0.5131 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.5131 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.5131 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.6 0.6	LCI	0.5126	0.5129	0.5137		
x0.11.2p0.80.3England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.5137 y 1.30.3p0.20.6Ireland1953–571958–62Ip63–67147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5120 y 0.40.61953–7 vs. 1963–67		1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67		
p 0.8 0.3 England & Wales1953–571958–621963–67M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.51371953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x1.30.3p0.20.6Ireland1953–571958–62M158403154661161498161498F150293147379T308696302040314365UCI0.5131UCI0.51440.51030.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51310.51210.51371953–7 vs. 1963–67M/F0.51310.5120 0.5137 0.5137LCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51201953–7 vs. 1963–621953–7 vs. 1958–621953–7 vs. 1963–67x0.70.2p0.40.6	x	0.1		1.2		
England & Wales $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 1774344 2020184 2197901 F 1675206 1904054 2076838 T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5131 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5132 0.5137 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5134 0.5103 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	р	0.8		0.3		
M177434420201842197901F167520619040542076838T344955039242384274739UCI0.51490.51530.5146M/F0.51440.51480.5142LCI0.51380.51430.51371953-7 vs. 1958-621953-7 vs. 1963-67x1.30.3p0.20.6Ireland1953-571958-62If 150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51140.51030.5120p0.40.70.2	England & Wales	1953–57	1958-62	1963-67		
F167520619040542076838T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. 1958-62 $1953-7$ vs. 1963-67x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. 1958-62 $1953-7$ vs. 1963-67x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	Μ	1774344	2020184	2197901		
T 3449550 3924238 4274739 UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $rsc0.70.21953-7 vs. 1958-62rsc0.70.20.6$	F	1675206	1904054	2076838		
UCI 0.5149 0.5153 0.5146 M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 rx 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	Т	3449550	3924238	4274739		
M/F 0.5144 0.5148 0.5142 LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	UCI	0.5149	0.5153	0.5146		
LCI 0.5138 0.5143 0.5137 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $1953-7$ vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	M/F	0.5144	0.5148	0.5142		
1953-7 vs. $1958-62$ $1953-7$ vs. $1963-67$ x 1.3 0.3 p 0.2 0.6 Ireland $1953-57$ $1958-62$ $1963-67$ M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 $y = 0.7$ $y = 0.4$ 0.6	LCI	0.5138	0.5143	0.5137		
x1.30.3p0.20.6Ireland1953-571958-621963-67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI0.51490.51380.5155M/F0.51310.51210.5137LCI0.51140.51030.5120 y 0.70.20.40.40.60.6		1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67		
p 0.2 0.6 Ireland1953-571958-621963-67M158403154661161498F150293147379152867T308696302040314365UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953-7 vs. 1958-62 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	x	1.3		0.3		
Ireland 1953–57 1958–62 1963–67 M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1963–67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	р	0.2		0.6		
M 158403 154661 161498 F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1963–67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	Ireland	1953–57	1958-62	1963–67		
F 150293 147379 152867 T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1963–67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	М	158403	154661	161498		
T 308696 302040 314365 UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1958–62 1953–7 vs. 1963–67 p 0.4 0.6	F	150293	147379	152867		
UCI 0.5149 0.5138 0.5155 M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953-7 vs. 1958-62 1953-7 vs. 1963-67 1953-7 vs. 1963-67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	Т	308696	302040	314365		
M/F 0.5131 0.5121 0.5137 LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953-7 vs. 1958-62 1953-7 vs. 1963-67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	UCI	0.5149	0.5138	0.5155		
LCI 0.5114 0.5103 0.5120 1953-7 vs. 1958-62 1953-7 vs. 1963-67 x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6	M/F	0.5131	0.5121	0.5137		
1953-7 vs. 1958-621953-7 vs. 1963-67x0.70.2p0.40.6	LCI	0.5114	0.5103	0.5120		
x 0.7 0.2 p 0.4 0.6		1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67		
p 0.4 0.6	x	0.7		0.2		
	p	0.4		0.6		

 Table 1

 M/F in 5 year intervals for the United Kingdom, 1953–58 (Windscale fire October 1957)

1958–67. Expected births (based on a percentage increment of 0.172/annum) and observed totals (actual) show that for the period 1958–62, there was a deficit of over 4000 births. There was an overall decline in total births up to 1963, followed by a rise (Fig. 1).

Iceland	1953–57	1958–62	1963–67
М	11574	12166	11940
F	10794	11502	11484
Т	22368	23668	23424
UCI	0.5240	0.5204	0.5162
M/F	0.5174	0.5140	0.5097
LCI	0.5109	0.5076	0.5033
	1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67
x	0.5		2.7
р	0.5		0.1
Norway	1953–57	1958-62	1963-67
М	162416	160991	169657
F	154094	151688	159320
Т	316510	312679	328977
UCI	0.5149	0.5166	0.5174
M/F	0.5131	0.5149	0.5157
LCI	0.5114	0.5131	0.5140
	1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67
x	1.9		4.2
р	0.2		0.039
Sweden	1953–57	1958-62	1963-67
М	277135	270074	310537
F	260538	254175	292550
Т	537673	524249	603087
UCI	0.5168	0.5165	0.5162
M/F	0.5154	0.5152	0.5149
LCI	0.5141	0.5138	0.5137
	1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67
x	0.1		0.3
р	0.8		0.6
Finland	1953–57	1958-62	1963-67
М	228624	210885	202383
F	217708	199095	193167
Т	446332	409980	395550
UCI	0.5137	0.5159	0.5132
M/F	0.5122	0.5144	0.5116
LCI	0.5108	0.5128	0.5101
	1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67
x	4.0		0.3
р	0.047		0.6

 Table 2

 M/F in 5 year intervals for Scandinavia, 1953–58 (Windscale fire October 1957)

For Finland, for the period 1953–1967, the rising trend in M/F was not significant (x trend 0.4, p = 0.5). Table 4 extrapolates births for Finland as was done for Norway. The expected and observed totals (actual) show that for this country, for the period 1958–62, there was also a deficit of over 4000 births.

Iceland	1953–57	1958–62	1963–67
Denmark	1953–57	1958–62	1963–67
М	197910	194425	216646
F	185550	184508	204661
Т	383460	378933	421307
UCI	0.5177	0.5147	0.5157
M/F	0.5161	0.5131	0.5142
LCI	0.5145	0.5115	0.5127
	1953–7 vs. 1958–62		1953–7 vs. 1963–67
x	7.0		2.9
р	0.008		0.1





Fig. 1. Annual live births and M/F for Norway, 1953–1967.

There was an overall decline in total births from 1953 to 1967 (Fig. 2). The annual percentage change in live births, averaged over 5 year periods was -1.60(1953-57), -1.258(1958-62) and -1.033(1963-67). There was a sharper decline in 1958 for total births and an inflection point in 1962, where the rate of decline decreases. Simple linear regression for the period 1953–62, excluding 1958, yielded the following equation (adjusted r square 0.96, F = 173.6, p < 0.0001): births = 2413128.7+($-1188.8 \times$ year). Separate regressions were carried out on both genders in order to extrapolate a value for 1958 for both genders, arriving at a total deficit of 4321 births for 1958 (Table 5).

For both countries, the effect/s appear to be ameliorated in the second five year period after the event.

4. Discussion

Iodine-131, caesium-137 and polonium-210 were the dominant contaminants released in the fire and there is evidence to support the hypothesis that the plume from the reactor extended further east than believed in previous assessments [11].

	Expected Observed 1958–67 1958–67	326594 330648	310028 311008
52	Deficit H 1958–62	1605	2661 4266
for 1958–6	Observed 1958–62	160991	151688 Total
ated deficit	Expected 1958–62	162596	154349
nd estim	1967	32912	31243
8–67, aı	1966	32856	31189
iod 195	1965	32800	31136
r the pei	1964	32743	31082
rway fo	scted 1963	32687	31029
as in No	Expe 1962	32631	30976
live birth	1961	32575	30923
pected]	1960	32519	30870
d and ex	1959	32463	30817
Observe	1958	32408	30764
)	year mean birth live $\%$ increment (1953–7)	0.171872	0.171872
	5	M	ц

Table 3

V. Grech / Windscale, M/F and lost births

	Observed 1958–67	413268	392262	
	Expected 1958–67	409049	387930	
52	Deficit 1958–62	1868	2674 4542	
for 1958–6	Observed 1958–62	210885	199095 Total	
ated deficit	Expected 1958–62	212753	201769	
d estim:	1967	38005	36043	
3–67, an	1966	38622	36628	
iod 1958	1965	39249	37223	
the peri	1964	39886	37827	
land for	cted 1963	40534	38441	
ıs in Fin	Expe 1962	41191	39065	
ive birth	1961	41860	39699	
pected l	1960	42540	40343	
d and ex	1959	43230	40998	
Dbserve	1958	43932	41664	
	year mean birth live % increment (1953–7)	-1.59731	-1.59731	
	S.	M	ц	

Table 4



Fig. 2. Annual live births and M/F for Finland, 1953-1967.

 Table 5

 Observed births in Finland, 1957–1959 and expected (extrapolated) value for 1958

1953–62	\mathbb{R}^2	F	р	Equation	Expected	Observed	Difference
Males	0.88	51.2	0.0002	male births = $1115591.4 + (-547.3 \times \text{ year})$	43925	41447	2478
Females	0.97	208.3	< 0.0001	female births = $1297537.3 + (-641.5 \times \text{year})$	41544	39701	1843
						Total Deficit	4321

A recent study of workers directly involved in the cleanup operation found no significant long term health effects from their involvement [12]. Moreover, analysis of the sedimentary layers of a lake on the northeast coast of Ireland found no evidence of any enhancement in radioisotope concentrations, over and above global fallout, in strata dated to 1957 [13]. This study confirms that contamination from the Windscale fire had a negligible impact on the United Kingdom, at least as indirectly measured by the influence on total births and M/F. However, a few studies continue to raise questions with regard to the effect on this region, such as a striking cluster of Down's syndrome babies [14].

Conversely, this study shows that Scandinavia was affected, with an increase in M/F and a drop in births that are temporally related to the Windscale fire. M/F has been proposed as a unique indicator with regard to radiation exposure since maternal exposure results in differing outcomes to paternal exposure [15]. Irradiated men sire an excess of males [16], while irradiated women give birth to an excess of females [17]. However, when both genders within a population are approximately equally exposed, an overall increase in M/F is produced [3].

This is attributed to the theory that if an X-linked recessive lethal gene is induced in a mother's germ cell line by ionising radiation, it would have no effect on a heterozygous daughter but would be lethal if passed to a male zygote. Furthermore, X-linked recessive lethal mutations in mothers would shift M/F to favour female offspring. X-linked dominant lethal mutations in mothers would be equally lethal to both genders [18].

X-linked dominant mutations induced in fathers would suppress only female offspring. Recessive X-linked lethal mutations in fathers would not influence M/F as sons do not receive the paternal X-chromosome and daughters carry a second, protective X-chromosome from their mother [18].

In the setting of radiation exposure, M/F is therefore altered through increased foetal mortality. It is speculated that the skew toward higher female mortality may be due to the fact that the X chromosome

contains more genetic material and is larger, and hence, may be physically more easily hit by ionising radiation. Another possibility is that ova and sperm afford their genetic material different levels of protection [3].

The declining trend in M/F in Denmark during the period studied is not related to a decline in births and constitutes part of the overall secular trend already described for this country over the period 1950 to 2009 [5].

These results support the contention that the Windscale repercussions were more severe than previously thought, with a loss of around 8000 pregnancies in Norway and Finland.

Indeed, a recent study that re-examined winds patterns and vegetation in 1957 with computer models estimated that the amount of radioactive fallout may have been double that initially proposed [11].

It will be interesting to observe whether the level 7 Fukushima Daiichi nuclear event of 2011 will have similar ramifications.

Acknowledgments

Dr. Mie Inoue and Dr. Gauden Galea from the World Health Organization.

References

- [1] International Atomic Energy Agency. The International Nuclear and Radiological Event Scale. Vienna: International Atomic Energy Agency; 2010.
- [2] Storebø PB. Advection of radioactive dust over Noway after the Windscale accident in England October 1957. Kjeller: Norwegian Defence Research Establishment: 1958.
- [3] Scherb H, Voigt K. Trends in the human sex odds at birth in Europe and the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant accident. Reprod Toxicol. 2007;23:593-9.
- [4] Scherb H, Voigt K. The human sex odds at birth after the atmospheric atomic bomb tests, after Chernobyl, and in the vicinity of nuclear facilities. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2011;18:697-707.
- [5] Grech V. Sex ratios at birth in Scandinavia over the past sixty years. Scand J Public Health. 2012;40:761-4.
- [6] Grech V. Sex ratios at birth in the British Isles over the past sixty years. Eur J Pediatr. 2013;172:525-8.
- [7] Gini C. Sulla probabilita che termini di una serie erratica sieno tutti crescenti (o non decrescenti) ovvero tutti decrescenti (o non crescenti) con applicazioni ai rapporti dei sessi nascite umane in intervalli successivi e alle disposizioni dei sessi nelle fratellanze umane. Metron 1955;17:1-41.
- [8] Fleiss JL. Statistical methods for rates and proportions. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1981:14-15 (2nd edition).
- [9] Cochran WG. Some methods for strengthening the common chi-squared tests. Biometrics. 1954;10:417-51.
- [10] Armitage P. Tests for Linear Trends in Proportions and Frequencies. Biometrics. 1955;11:375-86.
- [11] Garland JA, Wakeford R. Atmospheric emissions from the Windscale accident of October 1957. Atmos Envir. 2007;41:3904-20.
- [12] McGeoghegan D, Whaley S, Binks K, Gillies M, Thompson K, McElvenny DM. Mortality and cancer registration experience of the Sellafield workers known to have been involved in the 1957 Windscale accident: 50 year follow-up. J Radiol Prot. 2010;30:407-31.
- [13] Gallagher D, McGee EJ, Mitchell PI, Alfimov V, Aldahan A, Possnert G. Retrospective search for evidence of the 1957 Windscale fire in NE Ireland using 1²⁹I and other long-lived nuclides. Environ Sci Technol. 2005;39:2927-35.
- [14] Sharp PM, McConnell DJ. An unusual cluster of babies with Down's syndrome-was it caused by the Windscale fire? Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1984;289:378.
- [15] Neel JV, Schull WJ, Awa AA, Satoh C, Otake M, Kato H, Yoshimoto Y. Implications of the Hiroshima-Nagasaki genetic studies for the estimation of the human "doubling dose" of radiation. Genome. 1989;31:853-9.
- [16] James WH. Ionizing radiation and offspring sex ratio. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1997;51:340-1.
- [17] Schull WJ, Neel JV. Radiation and the sex ratio in man. Science. 1958;128:343-8.
- [18] Vogel F, Motulsky AG. Human genetics. 2nd ed. Berlin: Springer; 1986.